Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear цр

Intellectual Property Law

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 2 0 2006

2040 Main Street Fourteenth Floor Irvine, CA 92814 Tel 949-760-0404 Fax 949-760-9502 www.kmob.com

## Facsimile Transmittal Sheet

ORIGINAL WILL NOT FOLLOW

### Confidentiality Notice:

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain confidential information which may be legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the recipient named below. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us; and any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this faxed information is strictly prohibited.

TO:

Michael Pyzocha Group Art Unit: 2137

**USPTO FACSIMILE NO.:** 

(571) 273-8300

**USPTO REFERENCE:** 

Applicant: Zhenyu Gao

Serial No.: 09/965,968

Filed:

September 26, 2001

Title:

ANTI-ALTERATION SYSTEM FOR HOMEPAGE

ATTORNEY:

Lee W. Henderson Ph.D.

PHONE No.:

(949) 721-6305

ATTORNEY DOCKET No .:

BCHAOS.001A

TOTAL PAGES:

2 (INCLUDING COVER SHEET)

DOCKETING AGENT:

DATE:

April 20, 2006

IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL BACK IMMEDIATELY.

Facsimile Operator Phone Number: (949) 760-0404

Direct Line to Machine: (949) 760-9502

MESSAGE:

Attached for filing in the above-referenced application are:

2541654 042006

PAGE 1/2 \* RCVD AT 4/20/2006 8:15:14 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/14 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:9497609502 \* DURATION (mm-ss):00-50\*

04-20-2006 04:13pm From-KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON BEAR

949 7609502

T-664 P.002/002 F-216

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 2 0 2006

BCHAOS.001A

PATENT

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

Gao, Zhenyu

Appl. No.

09/965,968

Filed

September 26, 2001

For

ANTI-ALTERATION SYSTEM

FOR HOMEPAGE

Examiner

Michael Pyzocha

Group Art Unit

2137

### **ISSUES FOR DISCUSSION IN OFFICE INTERVIEW**

Applicant desires to use the office interview to discuss the cited references Groshon, Blickenstaff, and Korn with the Examiner. Specifically, Applicant wishes to show that each reference does not teach or suggest one or more limitations in the claims, and that no combination of the references is sufficient to teach or suggest the claims. Although the references will be considered in their entirety, in preparing for the interview, Applicant would like to direct the Examiner's attention to the following aspects of the references.

Groshon does not teach or suggest firewall protection between the web server and the backup web page storage (see, e.g., Fig. 3). Thus, if the web server is compromised, the attacker can alter the web page storage and the backup storage. This means that Groshon inadequately protects the backup storage.

Blickenstaff teaches recovery from a disk crash, but does not teach or suggest detection of defacement, security, or dynamic recovery when defacement is detected.

Korn teaches creating a secure script to allow "Web pages to execute software application on a client system" (see Col 2 at line 4). If a script is corrupted, the client user may be aware of the problem, but the website owner is not. As such, Korn teaches client-side protection, not protection of a website from defacement. Under Korn, an attacker could deface a website, the owner of the website would not be notified, and the client would unknowingly receive bad data.

1869906 081605